Remarks

The applicants thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended in the interview of October 19, 2005 and for his consideration of the present application.

In the telephone interview, the undersigned explained how the prior art, and in particular the cited Patterson et al. reference, could not anticipate the claims. The undersigned also explained the advantages of the current invention. Composites typically require less maintenance and provide a lower risk of exposure to harmful chemicals than pressure-treated lumber. The use of pressure-treated wood or other lumber products is, however, still desirable in portions of a deck, since they typically provide more strength than comparably priced composites. If, for example, a deck were made using composites where strength was not needed and pressure-treated wood where strength was needed, the deck would have a non-uniform appearance, would still require a high-level of maintenance, and would still expose deck users to leaching chemicals.

Thus, the present invention solves these problems by providing the strength of a natural wood product with the advantages of a composite, in such a way that products as claimed herein would provide a uniform appearance when used with all-composite products.

5

Appl. No. 10/716,392

Amdt. dated December 8, 2005

Response to Office action of September 19, 2005

During the discussion, the Examiner raised the issue of obviousness

and suggested adding more limitations to the claims.

In the Office action of September 19, 2005, all the claims were

rejected as being anticipated by Patterson '230. As noted above, Patterson

does not teach the use of a lumber substrate. It is therefore respectfully

submitted that the current claims overcome the rejection and are

patentable.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in

the fees filed, asserted to be filed or which should have been filed herewith

to our Deposit Account No. 19-4972. Applicants request reconsideration of

the rejected claims and a notice of allowance. The Examiner is requested to

telephone the undersigned if any matters remain outstanding so that they

may be resolved expeditiously.

Respectfully submitted,

Timothy M. Murphy

Registration No. 33,198

Attorney for Applicants

Bromberg & Sunstein LLP 125 Summer Street Boston, MA 02110-1618

(617) 443-9292

02836/00101 451465

6